



## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER   FILING DATE   FIRST NAMED INV	ENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
07/852,710 03/17/92 MORISHITA	M 35.08301
E5M1/1129 FITZPATRICK, CELLA, HARPER & SCINTO 277 PARK AVENUE NEW YORK, NY 10172	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER  7 2508
This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS	DATE MAILED: 11/29/93
This application has been examined Responsive to communication to A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire	month(s). days from the date of this letter
Part 1 THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION:	ana abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892.</li> <li>Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449.</li> <li>Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474.</li> </ol>	
Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION	
1.	are pending in the application.
Of the above, claims	are withdrawn from consideration.
2 Claims 2, 6, 9 -/0, 12 -/3	have been cancelled
3. Claims	are allowed.
4. $\boxtimes$ Claims 1 3 - 5 7 - 8 11 14 - 4 1	
5. Claims	·
6. Claims_	
7. This application has been filed with informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.8	
8. Formal drawings are required in response to this Office action.	
The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on are acceptable; not acceptable (see explanation or Notice of Draftsr	. Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings man's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948).
The proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on examiner;    disapproved by the examiner (see explanation).	. has (have) been approved by the
11. $\square$ The proposed drawing correction, filed $8/9/45$ has been	a ■approved; ☐ disapproved (see explanation).
12. Acknowledgement is made of the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. T  been filed in parent application, serial no; filed or;	The certified copy has been received not been received on
13. Since this application apppears to be in condition for allowance except for f accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 Q.	formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in G. 213.
14. Other	
	3128301
	750
	2(10/44
	3503301 350 2(10/ay 141/a3m)

EXAMINER'S ACTION

Serial No. 852,710

2502

Art Unit

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. S 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

The specification is objected to under 25 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as the specification, as originally filed does not provide support for the invention as is now claimed. The detailed description discloses an n-channel transister comprises a p+ type channel, an n type channel and an n- type channel formed between the n+ type source and drain regions and on a p type substrate in page 25, lines 15-20 and fig.15. However, the detailed description never discloses a first channel area, a second channel area, a third channel area, and a fourth channel area formed between the source and drain regions as claimed in claims 11 and 31.

The specification only discloses the impurity concentration of the n- area [1002] is very low (for example, less than  $10^{15}$  cm<sup>-2</sup>) in page 25, lines 2-6. However, the specification never discloses the impurity concentration of the n-type area 1002' and n-type 1002 is not greater than  $10^{17}$  cm<sup>-3</sup> as claimed in claims 27 and 38.

The specification failing to provide an adequate written

Serial No. 852,710 Art Unit 2508

description of the invention. The detailed description discloses the n- area 1002 and the n area 1002 are both entirely depleted and may be positioned inversely in page 25, lines 15-20. However, the description never explains why the n- area and n area may be positioned inversely. It is unclear whether the transistor would operated normally if region 1002 is n instead of n- as claimed in claims 22 and 33.

The specification also never explains the advantage to have a steeper electric field in the p+ n region.

The specification also never discloses when a voltage is applied to the gate, a potential distributed in the channel region is higher, and a carrier flows at a position distant from an interface between the insulating layer and the first channel area by a distance longer than a mean free path of the carrier as plaimed in plaims 23 and 34.

Claims 11 and 21-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, for the reasons set forth in the objection to the specification.

Claims 1,3-5,7-8 and 14-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 5 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, line 21, it is uncler what is an electrically neutral area.

-4-

Art Unit 2508

Claim 1 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. \$ 112.

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner Loke at telephone number (703) 302-4920.

Loke/ab November 22, 1993 William MINTEL

COMMANY EVANIMED

**GROUP AU 258**